

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 11/01/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/090,956	03/05/2002	Nobukazu Kato	200380-9022	6319	
75	90 11/01/2004	EXAMINER			
MICHAEL BEST & FRIEDRICH LLC 401 North Michigan Avenue Chicago, IL 60611			LUEBKE, RENEE S		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
-			2833	2833	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary	10/090,956	KATO & HAYASHI & KAMATA & ONO			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Renee S. Luebke	2833			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl' If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 September 2004.					
	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	·—				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 11-21 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 11-13,16-18 and 21 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 14,15,19 and 20 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>3/5/02 & 9/13/04</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	· · · · · ·	•			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)	(PTO-413) ate			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)			

Application Number: 10/090,956 Page 2

Art Unit: 2833

1. The corrected drawings (sheet 5) were received on September 13, 2004. These drawings are acceptable to the examiner.

- 2. Claims 11-20 are objected to because of the following informalities:
- The last section of claim 11 is redundant, repeating portions of claim 21 from which it depends.
- Claim 15 lacks antecedent basis for "said transmission cable" on lines 1-2.
- Claim 20 lacks antecedent basis for "said shield portion," "said twisted shield cable" and "said ground plate" on lines 1-2.

 Appropriate correction is required.
- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

4. Claims 21, 11-13 and 16-18 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Fogg, et al. This connector comprises an insulator 2 holding plural contacts. The contacts are arranged in two rows 6 at one end and three rows 13, 14 at the other end as claimed. It is noted that the placement of a contact and its arrangement at the apex of an isosceles triangles is determined by the central axis of the contact arm. In addition, it is noted that the "end" of each contact is seen to include everything from the bend outward. Therefore each of the ground contacts has a single contact portion 9b at said first end as claimed.

Application Number: 10/090,956 Page 3

Art Unit: 2833

5. Alternatively, claims 21, 11-13 and 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fogg in view of Bassler, et al. As noted above, the connector of Fogg comprises an insulator 2 holding plural contacts arranged in two rows 6 at one end and three rows 13, 14 at the other end. The connector of Bassler comprises ground contacts 150' having a single contact portion at the first end that is arranged in a staggered manner above a pair of signal contacts 140', 141'. This arrangement allows an increased ground plane surface area (abstract) and the ability to "tune" the connector (column 8, lines 49-64). For the same reasons, it would have been obvious to use the ground terminal taught by Bassler in the connector of Fogg.

- 6. Claims 14, 15 and 19 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the objections set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new grounds of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

8. It is suggested that responses to this final action be faxed to: (703) 872-9306

Please refrain from sending a confirmation copy, as noted in 37 CFR 1.6(d) and 1.8(b).

Application Number: 10/090,956 Page 4

Art Unit: 2833

Alternatively, responses may be mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mrs. Renee Luebke at (571) 272-2009. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mrs. Paula Bradley, can be reached at (571) 272-2800, extension 33.

Kenee S. Luebke

Primary Patent Examiner

October 26, 2004